

DRAFT PERMIT WRITING FORM – P2025-0065

Assigned EPS: A Ziemann Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

APPLICANT	
Project Sponsor(s): Eagle Lake Property Owners Inc. (ELPOI)	
_andowner(s): Click or tap here to enter text.	
Authorized Representative: Glenn Sullivan, Ready Scout, LLC	
PROJECT SITE	
Town/Village: Ticonderoga County: Essex	
Road and/or Water Body: Eagle Lake	
Tax Map #(s): Click or tap here to enter text. Deed Ref: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Land Use Area(s): UNDERWATER LANDS□H □MIU □L	III □DII □DM □III
Project Site Size: 32± acres	
☐Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above	
\square Only the \square H \square MIU \square LIU \square RU \square RM \square IU portion of the	Tay Man #(s) identified above
•	e rax map #(s) identified above
☑Other (describe):Treatment Plan Map	vision: Click or ton here to enter tout
_awfully Created? □Y □N NA □Pre-existing subdiv	
River Area: □Y ⊠N If Yes: □Wild - □Scenic - □Recreational N	Name of River: Click or tap here to enter
ext. CEAs (include all): ⊠Wetland - □Fed Hwy - □State Hwy - □State Land	Clavetice Ctudy Diver
CEAS (Include all). Welland - Lifed Hwy - Listate Hwy - Listate Land	- Lelevation - Listudy River
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
Application of the aquatic herbicide ProcellaCOR EC, involving	g wetlands, within five treatment areas
n Eagle Lake, to control Eurasian watermilfoil.	,
JURISDICTION (including legal citation)	
9 NYCRR Part 578.3(2)(i); Regulated wetland activity	
PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING S	HDEDSEDED
None	<u>UPERSEDED</u>
VOICE	
FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	
Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams	Check if none \Box
Water Body Name: Eagle Lake	
	rm: □Y □N
	lard:□Y □N
NA Meets standard: □Y □N	
NA Meets standard: □Y □N	
□Y ⊠N Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM?	If Yes, < 30% vegetation? □Y □N
□Y ⊠N Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM?	If Yes, < 30% trees 6" dbh? □Y □N
	•

□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include under jurisdiction)	
□Per	manen	ble Streams in proximity to development t Stream □ Intermittent Stream Classified? □Y □N mental Resource Mapper stream classification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
	□N □N → If Y → If Y Y □N Area Y □N Fill/str Y □N Cleard Y □N Polluti Y □N Polluti Y □N	Jurisdictional wetland on property, or Wetlands are a basis of development jurisdiction If Yes, RASS biologist consulted covertype: Deep Water Marsh value rating: 2 Draining, dredging, excavation of wetland of wetland loss: Click or tap here to enter text. Permanent? Fill/structure in wetlands ucture area: Click or tap here to enter text. Shading of wetland of shading: Click or tap here to enter text. Clearcutting >3 acres of wetland *RASS forester consulted cut area: Click or tap here to enter text. Untreated stormwater discharge into wetland Pollution discharge into wetland on type: Click or tap here to enter text. Pesticide/Herbicide application in wetland on type: Aquatic Herbicide ProcellaCor EC OSWTS within 100 feet of a wetland one to Wetland: Click or tap here to enter text.	
	_	Wildlife Natural Heritage Sites/listed species or habitat present, including bat Forest management plan existing or proposed □ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted Biological Survey required by RASS Biologist 2 or Supervisor □ If Yes, completed	
Speci □Y	ial Dist ⊠N	ricts Agricultural District	
Slopes NA □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: Click or tap here to enter text. Building area(s) if authorizing development: Click or tap here to enter text.			
	□N ′es, soi	Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot) Check if N/A □ I data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst? ed Soil Series or Other Comments: Click or tan here to enter text	

Stormwater NA

□Y □Y		,	
Nearb Adjoid Is nea	oy (incl ning La arby de	of Area clude all): ⊠Residential □Commercial □Industrial □Agricultural ⊠ _and Uses / State Land: Year-Round and Seasonal Residential Lake C development visible from road? NA □Y □N me road and describe visible development: Click or tap here to enter te	Community
Addit	tional	I Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): Click or tap here to en	iter text.
***	Attacl	ch Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a subdivision, attach	one for each lot)
FIND	INGS (OF FACT - COORDINATED REVIEW	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHP	es, APA APO consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$		s, APA AHPO consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	es, APA AHPO consulted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$		
□Y	⊠N		OH application submitted
□Y	⊠N	, 01	EC application submitted
□Y	⊠N		
□Y	⊠N		EC application submitted
□Y	⊠N ⊠N		EC application submitted
□Y □Y	⊠N ⊠N		OH application submitted
□Y	⊠N		If Yes, ACOE consulted
⊔ T		Agency-approved Local Land Ose Program — If Yes	, Town/Village consulted
determin	e if an a	Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) thresholds for the <u>Buffalo District</u> and the <u>New Yo</u> n application (PCN) needs to be submitted to the Corps. Additionally, review the <u>Section 10 Navigable Waters permit might</u> be required from the Corps.	
<u>PERI</u>	MIT CC	CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS	
_	er NA ication	A in if merger required: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Non-l	ouilding and lo	enant NA ng lot being created? □ Y □ □ N lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? one enter text.	Justification: <mark>Click or</mark>
Ease	•	t NA proposed or required? □Y □N Ilt with Legal for conditions. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text	

	n Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot) NA opment (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? □Y □N Structure height limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
	Structure footprint limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
	ceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? \Box Y \Box N view of future development required? \Box Y \Box N
\rightarrow If Y	, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Proposed and If N, g	ges (if authorizing a dwelling) NA d reviewed? □Y □N uest cottages potentially allowed? □Y □N tification for any conditions: Click or tap here to enter text.
Proposed an	(if project site contains shoreline) NA d reviewed? □Y □N oathouses potentially allowed? □Y □N
	→ If N, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. w required (beyond definition limits)? □Y □N ustification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Proposed and If N, docks por → If N, justifice → If Y, review	oject site contains shoreline) NA d reviewed? otentially allowed? cation: Click or tap here to enter text. w required (beyond definition limits)? ustification: Click or tap here to enter text.
	hting (if authorizing development) NA ed and reviewed? □Y □N
	lor (if authorizing development) NA tion required, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Town with No	y / Vegetation Removal NA orthern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? □Y □N nabitat indicated on Lookup? □Y □N
If Y, restriction within Clic within Clic within Clic within Clic	utting restrictions required? Y N Ins required (choose all that apply): Ck or tap here to enter text. feet of limits of clearing Ck or tap here to enter text. feet of road Ck or tap here to enter text. feet of river/lake/etc Ck or tap here to enter text. feet of wetlands Ck or tap here to enter text.

OR ⊟on entire site outside limits of clearing	
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above: Cutting of all vegetation prohibited Cutting of trees of Click or tap here to enter text. diameter dbh prohibited Other: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Plantings NA Plan proposed and reviewed? □Y □N If N, plantings required? □Y □N → If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Density (may be different for each subdivision lot) NA Located in Town with ALLUP? \Box Y \Box N (If Y, STOP, Town overs Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? \Box Y \Box N If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Click or ta enter text.	·
Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): Extinguishing PBs? $\Box Y \Box N$ If Y, number: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review) NA Municipal system connection approved? Community system connection approved by RASS? Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system? Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system? Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	□Y□N □Y□N □Y□N □Y□N □Y□N □Y□N
Stormwater Management (if authorizing development) NA Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circums Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development) NA Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circums Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development) NA Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings NA

Explain why no condition is needed: Click or tap here to enter text.

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

- A: Condition restricting timing of treatment to mid May/June of treatment year
- B: Application must be within the treatment locations proposed, and in accordance with DEC Pesticides Permit
- C: Compliance with "Clean Drain Dry" standards for all equipment to be used in the application
- D: Conduct post treatment concentration monitoring as described in the Sampling Plan.
- E: Conduct post treatment aquatic plant survey between August 1 and September 15 of the treatment year, repeating the survey methodology of the pre-treatment survey.
- F: Post treatment status report to be provided to the Agency by December 1 of the treatment year, to include post treatment residue monitoring report and post treatment aquatic plant survey results
- Justification: A: Target plants will be controlled early in the growing season will be smaller than they are later in the season. This will result resulting in reduced decaying biomass and associated water quality impacts
- B: Dosage control is pursuant to DEC permit; Application in areas other than those depicted on the map may have associated impacts that haven't been reviewed, or may involve underwater lands under different ownerships
- C: Clean Drain Dry standards will limit potential for spread of invasive plant material from other waterbodies
- D: Post treatment residue monitoring will allow confirmation that the project was undertaken as authorized
- E: Post Treatment aquatic plant survey will allow confirmation that the project was undertaken as authorized, will provide data to assess efficacy of the treatment, and will provide assessment of non-target impacts
- ☑Y □N Public comments received If Yes, #: 9 (8 Supportive; 1 Not Supportive)
 □Y ☑N Applicant submitted response (notes, if any) Click or tap here to enter text.